

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/090,275	SINNOTT, JOSEPH F.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DEBBIE M. LE	2168	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) DEBBIE M. LE.

(3) _____.

 (2) Sandra M. Parker (Reg. No. 36,233).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2006
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

rejection of records

Claims discussed:

claims 1-2, 7-8, 13-14


Prior art documents discussed:

prior art of record
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested to Applicant's representative incorporate dependent claim 2 into all independent claims to make the step of how Applicant determines optimum join sequence. Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 1, 7 and 13, not exactly, but in the scope of claim 2, as directly resulted in the Examiner's Amendments. .

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'N' followed by a horizontal line.